



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/395,909	09/14/1999	ANDERS UVLIDEN	44559-00003	6655

7590 04/25/2002

STANLEY R MOORE
JENKENS & GILCHRIST PC
3200 FOUNTAIN PLACE
1445 ROSS AVE
DALLAS, TX 752022799

EXAMINER

ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2654

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2004

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/395,909

Applicant(s)

UVLIDEN ET AL.

Examiner

Angela A. Armstrong

Art Unit

2654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, and 6-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deller et al (1987, Discrete-Time Processing of Speech Signals) in view of McCree (US Patent No. 6,122,608).
3. Regarding claims 1-3, 8-9, 12-14, 17-19, and 22, at pages 480-482, Deller et al discloses the basic principles of the Code-excited linear prediction (CELP) coding method, which is based on an analysis by synthesis method. Deller et al teaches that the CELP synthesizer consists of long term predictor and short-term predictor and the output of the codebook is scaled by a gain and sent to the predictors. Deller et al does not specifically teach multiple codebooks or a selection procedure for selecting one of a multiple of codebooks for encoding/decoding a signal block. In a similar endeavor, McCree discloses a system and method for switched predictive quantization. Specifically, McCree teaches the implementation of multiple sets of fixed algebraic codebooks in the system, selecting a codebook based on a deterministic selection procedure that is independent of the signal type and performs encoding and decoding of a particular frame (col. 4, lines 7-52). McCree teaches that the use of multiple codebook pairs results in a significant performance improvement over single codebooks (col. 4, lines 3-4).

Art Unit: 2654

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the CELP system of Deller et al, to implement multiple codebook pairs at suggested by McCree, for the purpose of improved system performance, as suggested by McCree.

4. Regarding claims 6-7, 15-16, 20-21, and 23-24, Deller et al discloses that the system performs an exhaustive search, which reads on the cyclically or randomly stepping through the sets of codebooks.

5. Regarding claims 10 and 11, Deller et al discloses that the CELP coder is used to process frames of speech (page 480).

6. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deller et al and McCree, in view of Heidari et al (US Patent No. 6,055,496).

7. Regarding claims 4 and 5, Deller et and McCree teach everything as claimed in claim 3. Deller et al and McCree do not specifically teach of channel-protected parameters with error detection. Refer to Heidari who teaches a CELP speech coder to improve overall system capacity (col. 2, lines 47-49, which implements channel coding that provides error protection (col. 2, lines 64-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to modify the CELP coding system of Deller et al and implement a multi-set codebook of as suggested by McCree, and further implement channel coding which provides error protection, as taught by Heidari et al, for the purpose of improving overall system capacity, as suggested by Heidari et al.

Art Unit: 2654

8. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minde et al (US Patent No. 5,991,717).

9. Regarding claim 25, Minde et al discloses an analysis-by-synthesis linear predictive speech coder with restricted position multipulse excitation. Specifically, at Figure 6a and col. 5, line 63-col. 6, line 18, Minde et al, teaches a codebook with different allowed pulse positions and zero pulse positions. Minde et al does not specifically teach multiple codebooks or that each codebook has different zero pulse positions. In a similar endeavor, McCree discloses a system and method for switched predictive quantization. Specifically, McCree teaches the implementation of multiple sets of fixed algebraic codebooks in the system, selecting a codebook based on a deterministic selection procedure that is independent of the signal type and performs encoding and decoding of a particular frame (col. 4, lines 7-52). McCree teaches that the use of multiple codebook pairs results in a significant performance improvement over single codebooks (col. 4, lines 3-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the analysis by synthesis linear predictive speech coder of Minde et al, to implement multiple codebook pairs at suggested by McCree, for the purpose of improved system performance, as suggested by McCree.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2654


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

AAA
April 21, 2002



TĀLIVALDIS IVARS SMITS
PRIMARY EXAMINER